

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,344	12/17/2003	Arianna T. Morales	GP-302303	9697
74175 7590 03/05/2008 Harness Dickey & Pierce, P.L./C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 828			ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/738,344	MORALES ET AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Zimmerman	1794

C	continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	1. 🗵 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13; tr (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:
	a) 🔯 The period for reply expires <u>3 m</u> onths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	NOTICE OF APPEAL
	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
	AMENDMENTS
	<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);     </li> </ol>
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, in paragraphs 5-7 of the Sixth Office Action will be overcome upon entry of the proposed amendments.
	<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14.16 and 17</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant falled to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
	9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	It is not clear where the originally filed disclosure contains support for the subject matter now claimed. The only reference to a "flat" sheet that has been readily found in the original disclosure has been found in paragraph [0.019] where it is described that the
	"method is equally applicable to flat sheets". At best, this description would appear to describe the possible alternative manufacture of flat composite structures (not the currently claimed manufacture of curved composite structures). No further
	description of the use of "flat" sheets, the sequence of forming "flat" sheets, the use of superplastic "flat" sheets, the use of second "flat" sheets or the shape of the tool cavity associated with the use of "flat" sheets has been readily found in the original
	disclosure. Applicant's arguments that the limitations would be sufficiently understood by a practioner are noted, but are not convincing for the reasons above. It is also not clear how applicant can argue that adapting the applicant's process to flat sheets
	(from the meager description found in applicant's original disclosure) is well within the purview of the skilled practioner but would be well outside the purview of the skilled artisan when addressing to the teachings of Seeliger.
	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
	13. Other: